



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_6736
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF PHOSPHORUS AND BRADYRHIZOBIUM JAPONICUM ON GROWTH AND CHLOROPHYLL CONTENT OF COWPEA (VIGNA UNGUICULATA (L) WALP).
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The result presentation should take care of the interaction of the treatments applied . This implies that interaction exists, individual treatments used cannot not have individual effect. The result presentation and discussion should strictly be oriented towards this fact.	The results from this study are presented and discussed based on results shown in Table 1-4 and figures 1a,b-2a,b. The results on interactions are shown in lines 225-241. The interactive effects are discussed well in line 358-384
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Let all the linguistic mistakes be considered and corrected to improve the status of the article after being published.	Comments are taken into consideration as recommended by the reviewer. All changes highlighted with yellow colour in the manuscript
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Experimental procedure should be chronologically arranged. Repetition should either be avoided or at least be reduced to bare minimum when writing the body of the paper.	Comment considered.